

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,142	03/11/2004	Kunihiro Iwatsuki	250236US2	6150
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, VICKY A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3682	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/797,142	IWATSUKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ ·Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original three corrections. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The Specification** 13. **The Specification** 14. **The Specification** 25. **The Specification** 26. **The Specification** 26. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 28. **The Specification** 29. **The Specification** 20. **The Specification** 20. **The Specification** 21. **The Specification** 21. **The Specification** 22. **The Specification** 23. **The Specification** 24. **The Specification** 26. **The Specification** 26. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 28. **The Specification** 29. **The Specification** 29. **The Specification** 20. **The Specification** 21. **The Specification** 21. **The Specification** 22. **The Specification** 23. **The Specification** 24. **The Specification** 24. **The Specification** 24. **The Specification** 25. **The Specification** 26. **The Specification** 26. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 27. **The Specification** 28. **The Specification** 28. **The Specification** 29. **The Specification** 29. **The Specification** 20. **Th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS filed February 14, 2006, has a citation lined through, because it is the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 5 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26 are indefinite because it is unclear if the limitation, "in case the slip ... said pressure lowering means" is a positive limitation.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the lowered minimum value of said pressure" in line

12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 2 it is unclear if the "a preset time period" of line 6 is the same as the "a preset time period" of line 5.

The term "gentle" in claims 3 and 21 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "gentle" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/797,142

Art Unit: 3682

art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. There is no standard in which to determine what constitutes a gentle gradient.

Claim 15 is indefinite, because it is unclear what is meant by "a preset input torque acting".

Claim 26 is indefinite, because it is unclear what is meant by "another pressure re-lowering means". Does this mean it is claiming more than one? How is the lowering means different from the re-lowering means?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5-12, 20, and 22-27, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asayama et al (US 6,454,675).

Asayama et al disclose a control system of a power transmission mechanism, in which a transmission torque capacity between transmission members varies according to a pressure to be applied to said transmission members and, in which the pressure to be applied to said transmission members is controlled on the basis of a slip condition between said transmission members as accompanies the lowering of said pressure (col. 2 lines 10-48), comprising: pressure lowering means (13) for lowering said pressure by a preset value; and pressure setting means (21) for setting said pressure, on the basis of the lowered minimum value of said pressure (col. 4 lines 35-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,142 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vicky A. Johnson 5/25/57 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3682